



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,948	03/02/2005	Kenji Mori	MUR-040-USA-PCT	8463
27955 7590 04/22/2009 TOWNSEND & BANTA c/o PORTFOLIO IP PO BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				
EXAMINER				
SO, ELIZABETH K				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3766				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/22/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/501,948

**Applicant(s)**

MORI ET AL.

**Examiner**

ELIZABETH SO

**Art Unit**

3766

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ELIZABETH SO.(3) DON TOWNSEND.(2) CARL LAYNO.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Higo et al (US 6,259,946 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the applicant's proposed amended claim 2, which does not overcome the Higo reference. If the applicant modifies claim 2 to add new matter that is not supported by the specification, the examiner recommends that the applicant files a Continuation-in-Part application that includes detailed data from the comparative tests that distinguish the applicant's device from known structures in the art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/E. S./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3766

/Carl H. Layno/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3766